1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	MICHELLE GOMEZ,	Case No. 1:23-cv-01696-NODJ-EPG
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PERMISSION FOR ELECTRONIC CASE
11	v.	FILING
12	KATHY CIUFFINI,	(ECF No. 2).
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	Michelle Gomez ("Plaintiff") proceeds <i>pro se</i> in this action. Plaintiff initiated this case on	
16	December 8, 2023. (ECF No. 1). That same day, Plaintiff filed a request for permission to file	
17 18	electronically on ECF/PACER. (ECF No. 2). Plaintiff's request states that she has "regular access	
19	to the technical requirements necessary to e-file successfully." (Id.) However, Plaintiff does not	
20	provide an explanation of reasons why an exception should be granted. See L.R. 133(b)(3)	
21	("Requests to use paper or electronic filing as exceptions from these Rules shall be submitted	
22	written motions setting out an explanation of reasons for the exception."). Additionally, the Court	
23	has issued Findings and Recommendations to dismiss Plaintiff's case. Accordingly, Plaintiff's	
24	motion (ECF No. 2) is DENIED without prejudice.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: January 9, 2024	18/ Encir P. Brosg
27		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		
		1